REMARKS

Claims 16-28 remain pending in the application (Claims 1-15 having been previously cancelled), with Claims 16, 20 and 24 being independent.

Claims 16-28 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection.

Applicants also gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's withdrawal of the previous obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Applicants further gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejection.

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 16-28 stand rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over Claims 1, 7-8 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,616,999 for the reasons set forth at pages 3-4 of the Action.

Applicants have prepared and submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to disclaim the terminal portion of any patent issuing upon this application that may extend upon the full statutory term of U.S. Patent No. 6,616,999.

Application No. 10/643,401 Office Action of October 26, 2005 Response after Final Rejection dated November 21, 2005

Upon entry of this paper and Terminal Disclaimer,

Applicants submit that the subject application is in condition for allowance.

This paper represents an earnest attempt at advancing prosecution on the merits, and thus Applicants respectfully submit that entry hereof is proper.

In view of the above, favorable reconsideration and passage to issue of the present case are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (860) 571-5001, by facsimile at (860) 571-5028 or by e-mail at steve.bauman@us.henkel.com. All correspondence should be directed to the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven C. Bauman

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 33,832

Henkel Corporation Legal Department 1001 Trout Brook Crossing Rocky Hill, CT 06067